

The gain matrix is almost identical to the gain matrix obtained by analytical method, and the preceding gain matrix is approximately diagonal. Errors introduced by the approximation method (Ritz method) upon the mode shape, natural frequencies, and gain matrix are less than 1%.

### Conclusions

In this study we have presented a methodology for uniform modal damping of plates with certain boundary conditions. The sensor actuator pairs are placed at the intersection of the nodes of the  $(M + 1)$  and  $(N + 1)$  mode. The method shows the following: 1) all modes are damped uniformly; 2) the open-loop and closed-loop frequencies are the same; and 3) the mode shapes are invariant. This methodology has been shown to work in all cases where the nodal lines intersect. If one were to examine the total number of simple boundary conditions (clamped, SS, free) for rectangular plates, one would find that there are 21 different combinations. The UDNC method works for all combinations except the following: C-F-S-F, C-F-C-F, S-F-S-F, C-F-F-F, S-F-F-F, F-F-F-F. In these cases there are no intersections of the nodal lines. A general solution for these cases remains elusive.

### References

- <sup>1</sup>Meirovitch, L., and Baruh, H., "Control of Self-Adjoint Distributed-Parameter Systems," *Journal of Guidance, Control, and Dynamics*, Vol. 5, No. 1, 1982, pp. 60–66.
- <sup>2</sup>Meirovitch, L., and Baruh, H., "Robustness of the Independent Modal-Space Control Method," *Journal of Guidance, Control, and Dynamics*, Vol. 6, No. 1, 1983, pp. 20–25.
- <sup>3</sup>Silverberg, L., "Uniform Damping Control of Spacecraft," *Journal of Guidance, Control, and Dynamics*, Vol. 9, No. 2, 1986, pp. 221–227.
- <sup>4</sup>Weaver, L., and Silverberg, L., "Node Control of Uniform Beams Subject to Various Boundary Conditions," *Journal of Applied Mechanics*, Vol. 59, Dec. 1992, pp. 983–990.
- <sup>5</sup>Washington, G., and Silverberg, L., "Uniform Damping and Stiffness Control of Structures with Distributed Actuators," *Journal of Dynamic Systems, Measurement and Control*, Vol. 119, 1997, pp. 561–565.
- <sup>6</sup>Rossetti, D., and Sun, J., "Uniform Modal Damping of Rings by an Extended Node Control Theorem," *Journal of Guidance, Control, and Dynamics*, Vol. 19, No. 2, 1995, pp. 373–375.
- <sup>7</sup>Silverberg, L., "Conjecture About Orthogonal Functions," *Journal of Guidance, Control, and Dynamics*, Vol. 20, No. 1, 1997, pp. 198–202.
- <sup>8</sup>Hendry, S., "Comment on 'Conjecture About Orthogonal Functions'," *Journal of Guidance, Control, and Dynamics*, Vol. 21, No. 1, 1998, pp. 188–190.
- <sup>9</sup>Lee, C., and Moon, F., "Modal Sensors/Actuators," *Journal of Applied Mechanics*, Vol. 57, 1990, pp. 434–441.
- <sup>10</sup>Tzou, H., and Hollkamp, J., "Collocated Independent Modal Control with Self-Sensing Orthogonal Piezoelectric Actuators (Theory and Experiment)," *Smart Materials and Structures*, Vol. 3, 1994, pp. 277–284.
- <sup>11</sup>Burke, S., and Hubbard, J., "Spatial Filtering Concepts in Distributed Parameter Control," *Journal of Dynamic Systems, Measurement and Control*, Vol. 112, 1990, pp. 565–573.
- <sup>12</sup>Leissa, A., *Vibration of Plates*, Acoustical Society of America, Sewickley, PA, 1973.
- <sup>13</sup>Qatu, M.-S., "Free Vibration and Static Analysis of Laminated Composite Shallow Shells," Ph.D. Dissertation, Dept. of Engineering Mechanics, Ohio State Univ., Columbus, OH, 1989.

## Errata

### $H_\infty$ Feedback for Attitude Control of Liquid-Filled Spacecraft

Jinlu Kuang and A. Y. T. Leung

University of Manchester, Manchester, England M13 9PL, United Kingdom

[J. Guidance 24(1), pp. 46–53 (2001)]

THE first sentence in the last paragraph of the second column on page 50 should read as follows:

"The control law  $u_x = -(a\omega_x + b\beta_x)$ ,  $u_y = -(a\omega_y + b\beta_y)$ ,  $u_z = -(a\omega_z + b\beta_z)$  was proposed by Mortensen,<sup>15</sup> who proved that the closed-loop system for a rigid spacecraft without external disturbances is globally and asymptotically stable."

The statement on page 51 following Eq. (101) should read as follows:

"where  $u_x = -(a\omega_x + b\beta_x)$ ,  $u_y = -(a\omega_y + b\beta_y)$ , and  $u_z = -(a\omega_z + b\beta_z)$ , in which the coefficients  $a$  and  $b$  are defined according to the polynomial equations (83) and (84) together with inequality (44)."

AIAA regrets the error.